



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,277	05/19/2006	Songming Huang	57,0510 US PCT	2472
370/3 7590 06/30/2008 SCHLUMBERGER-DOLL RESEARCH ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 425045 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142				
EXAMINER				
DANG, HUNG Q				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2612				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/549,277

Applicant(s)

HUANG ET AL.

Examiner

HUNG Q. DANG

Art Unit

2612

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28, 34 and 35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28, 34 and 35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/083)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's claims election dated 5/20/2008. The applicant has elected group I, claims 1-28 and cancelled group II, claims 29-33. Also, the newly added claims 34-35 have been entered. This application claims priority from a foreign patent application, dated 3/26/2003. Therefore, the effective filing date of this application is also 3/26/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 14-17, 20-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dubinsky U.S. Patent 6,757,218.

Note: according to page 8 lines 12-16 and page 10 lines 15-26 of the specification, the claimed modulator in claim 1 is a stop valve that opens or blocks the access to the liquid volume (132)..; and together with the Helmholtz resonator...the reflected wave becomes a BPSK (binary phase shift key) modulated wave, carrying data to the surface.

Regarding claim 1, Dubinsky teaches an acoustic telemetry apparatus for communicating digital data from a down-hole location through a borehole to the surface comprising (see figure 2):

an acoustic channel (figure 2, channel 204) terminated at a down-hole end by a reflecting terminal (figure 2, unit 208);

an acoustic wave generator located at the surface and providing an acoustic wave carrier signal within said acoustic channel (column 4, lines 36-45);

a modulator located at said down-hole location and adapted to modulate amplitude and/or phase of said carrier wave in response to a digital signal (paragraph bridging columns 4-5); and

one or more sensors (figure 2, unit 214) located at the surface adapted to detect amplitude and/or phase related information of acoustic waves traveling within said acoustic channel.

Regarding claims 2 and 22, the modulator disclosed by Dubinsky also modulates the reflection properties of reflecting terminal (column 5, lines 38-55).

Regarding claim 3, the modulator and the reflecting terminal disclosed by Dubinsky also form a variable phase shifting reflector for the carrier wave (column 5, lines 7-12).

Regarding claims 4 and 23, the modulator disclosed by Dubinsky also modulates the reflection properties of the reflecting terminal in discrete steps (paragraph bridging columns 4-5; the two distinct reflections represent binary states of "0" and "1" indicate the discrete steps).

Regarding claim 5, according to pages 8-10 of the specification...the modulator comprises a Helmholtz resonator...and when the Helmholtz resonator is enabled...the acoustic impedance at the down-hole end of the annulus equals that of the resonator, and the reflected wave is phase-inverted; when disabled, the reflected wave has no phase change.

Dubinsky also teaches the same concept (paragraph bridging columns 4-5) of switching between a first state that causes the phase of an acoustic wave reflected at said terminal to invert and a second state that maintains the original phase of the incident wave by operating the modulator (valve) and the Helmholtz resonator as described on pages 8-10 of the specification of this application.

Regarding claim 6, the acoustic channel disclosed by Dubinsky is also a column of liquid extending from the surface to a down-hole location (column 4, lines 36-48).

Regarding claim 7, the acoustic channel disclosed by Dubinsky is also formed by filling an annular volume in the borehole with a liquid (figure 2 and column 4, lines 36-48).

Regarding claim 8, Dubinsky also teaches that the acoustic channel is formed by filling a tubing string suspended in the borehole with a liquid (column 4, lines 36-46).

Regarding claims 10 and 24, the modulator disclosed by Dubinsky is also a Helmholtz resonator located in the vicinity of the reflecting terminal point (paragraph bridging columns 4-5).

Regarding claim 11, the resonator disclosed by Dubinsky also comprises a liquid filled volume enclosed in a housing having a tubular opening to the reflecting

terminal (column 5 lines 39-55; the tubular openings in this case are the controlled pistons).

Regarding claim 12, the resonator disclosed by Dubinsky also has two or more tubular openings to the reflecting terminal (column 5 lines 39-55; the tubular openings in this cases are the controlled pistons).

Regarding claim 14, Dubinsky also teaches an acoustic receiver (figure 2, unit 210) in a downhole location adapted to receive acoustic channel in a down-hole location.

Regarding claim 15, the digital data disclosed in Dubinsky's system is also encoded digital data (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 16, the sensors disclosed by Dubinsky are also connected to a decoding unit adapted to convert detected amplitude and/or phase related information into a digital signal (column 4, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 17, the sensors disclosed by Dubinsky are also connected to a signal processing unit adapted to filter the carrier wave signal from detected information (column 4, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 20, Dubinsky also teaches the use of the apparatus of claim 1 in a well stimulation operation. The well stimulation operation in this case is the operation of the downhole Helmholtz resonator being resonated by the received acoustic signal.

Regarding claim 25, Dubinsky also teaches the steps of performing measurements of downhole parameters; encoding said measurements into a bitstream;

Art Unit: 2612

and controlling the reflecting properties of the reflecting terminal in response to said encoded bitstream (column 7, lines 34-50).

Regarding claims 21 and 28, claims 21 and 28 recite the steps of operating the acoustic telemetry apparatus of claim 1; and therefore are rejected for the same reasons stated above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9, 13 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubinsky U.S. Patent 6,757,218.

Regarding claim 9, even though Dubinsky does not specifically that the column of liquid has a viscosity of less than 3×10^{-3} NS/m², however, it would have been obvious to one of skilled practitioner to derive such viscosity through routine experimentations to derive an optimal liquid channel for said acoustic data transmission.

Regarding claim 13, even though Dubinsky does not specifically teach that the acoustic wave generator is adapted to simultaneously generate acoustic waves at different frequencies, however, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would recognize that if a downhole data receiver is desired, then a different acoustic signal, which has a different frequency from the frequency of the acoustic

Art Unit: 2612

signal that is used to resonate the downhole resonator, can be used to transmit control data to the downhole receiver just like in any other conventional downhole telemetry systems.

Regarding claim 26, even though Dubinsky does not specifically mention the step of selecting the frequency of the carrier wave such that it is close to the resonance frequency of the resonator used to modulate said carrier wave, however, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that Helmholtz resonator optimally operates at its resonant frequency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the step of selecting the frequency of the carrier wave such that it is close to the resonant frequency of the resonator so that the resonator can be resonated (enabled) to modulate the carrier wave.

6. Claims 18-19 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubinsky U.S. Patent 6,757,218, as applied to claim 1, and in view of Priest et al. U.S. Patent 5,444,324.

Regarding claim 18, Dubinsky teaches the apparatus of claim 1. However, Dubinsky does not specifically teach wherein the modulator comprises a piezoelectric actuator.

Priest et al., in the same field of endeavor, teaches a downhole acoustic telemetry apparatus and Priest et al. also discloses the conventionality of using piezoelectric element(s) to increase the voltage response to acoustic energy (column 1, lines 65-67).

Since Dubinsky teaches a downhole apparatus which uses acoustic energy transmitted from the surface to actuate/modulate a downhole transmitter; and Priest et al. further suggests using piezoelectric elements(s) to increase the voltage response to the received acoustic energy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a piezoelectric actuator to the modulator disclosed by Dubinsky so that more voltage/power can be generated through the use of said piezoelectric actuators.

Regarding claim 19, Dubinsky does not specifically mention that the downhole power generator is adapted to convert acoustic energy from an acoustic wave signal generated at the surface. However, Priest et al. discloses the conventionality of using down-hole power generator that is adapted to convert acoustic energy from an acoustic wave signal generated at the surface (column 1, lines 20-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the conversion of the received acoustic wave signal into electrical signals for use as the downhole power generator disclosed by Dubinsky, as suggested by Priest et al.

Regarding claim 34, Priest et al. also discloses the conventionality of using an electro-acoustic transducer to convert the energy of the received acoustic wave into electrical energy for the down-hole power generator to provide power to the down-hole tools (column 1, lines 16-45).

Therefore, by conventionality, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an electro-acoustic transducer

to the downhole generator disclosed by Dubinsky, as disclosed by Priest et al., so that downhole tools can be powered up by using acoustic-converted electrical energy.

Regarding claim 35, the Examiner gives Official Notice that capacitors have been commonly known and used for storing electrical energy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide an energy storing capacitor to the downhole power generator to store electrical energy to provide energy/power to one or more downhole devices.

7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubinsky U.S. Patent 6,757,218 in view of Lavigne U.S. Patent 3,909,775.

Regarding claim 27, Dubinsky teaches the method of claim 21. However, Dubinsky does not teach the steps of scanning through a range of possible carrier frequencies; monitoring at the surface reflected and modulated wave signal; selecting the frequency of the carrier wave such that the detection of said reflected and modulated wave signal is optimized; and commencing the communication of down-hole measurements.

The claimed steps are merely the conventional method of selecting an optimal frequency through a range of possible frequencies to achieve optimal data transmission with minimal noise and interference.

Lavigne, in the same field of endeavor, discloses an acoustic transmission method, wherein S/N ratio is greatly increased through selection of an optimal frequency from a range of possible frequencies (column 2, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such frequency scanning steps to the method disclosed by Dubinsky, as suggested by Lavigne, so that optimal acoustic transmission can be achieved.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG Q. DANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3069. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Zimmerman can be reached on (571) 272-3059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2612

/Hung Q Dang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2612

/Brian A Zimmerman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612